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## The Challenge

Q: Will my program be able to solve a large practical problem?


Key insight. [Knuth 1970s]
Use the scientific method to understand performance.

## Overview

- Performance analysis
- Why we care
- What we measure and how
- How functions grow
- Empirical analysis
- The doubling hypothesis
- Order of growth



## Scientific Method

- Scientific method
- Observe some feature of the natural world
- Hypothesize a model that is consistent with the observations
- Predict events using the hypothesis
- Verify the predictions by making further observations
- Validate by repeating until the hypothesis and observations agree
- Principles
- Experiments must be reproducible
- Hypothesis must be falsifiable



## Why performance analysis

- Predicting performance
- When will my program finish?
- Will my program finish?

- Compare algorithms
- Should I change to a more complicated algorithm?
- Will it be worth the trouble?
- Basis for inventing new ways to solve problems
- Enables new technology
- Enables new research


## Algorithmic successes

- Discrete Fourier transform
- Break down waveform of $N$ samples into periodic components
reidrich Gaus
- Applications: DVD, JPEG, MRI, astrophysics, ....
- Brute force: $N^{2}$ steps
- FFT algorithm: $N \log N$ steps, enables new technology




## Algorithmic successes

- Sorting
- Rearrange array of $N$ item in ascending order

John von Neuman

- Applications: databases, scheduling, statistics, genomics, ..
- Brute force: $N^{2}$ steps
- Mergesort: $N \log N$ steps, enables new technology
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## Algorithmic successes

- N-body Simulation
- Simulate gravitational interactions among $N$ bodies

- Application: cosmology, semiconductors, fluid dynamics, ..
- Brute force: $N^{2}$ steps
- Barnes-Hut algorithm: $N \log N$ steps, enables new research




## Performance metrics

- What do we care about?
- Time, how long do I have to wait?
- Measure with a stop watch (real or virtual)
- Run in a performance profiler
- Often part of an IDE (e.g. Microsoft Visual Studio)
- Sometimes standalone (e.g. gprof)
- Helps you determine bottleneck in your code

```
long start = System.currentTimeMilLis();
// Do the stuff you want to time
long now = System.currentTimeMilLis();
double elapsedSecs = (now - start) / 1000.0; // Do the stuff you want to time double elapsedSecs \(=\) (now - start \() /\) 1000.0;
```

Measuring how long some code takes.

## A "simple" problem

- Three-sum problem
- Given $N$ integers, find all triples that sum to 0
\% java ThreeSum < 8ints.txt
30-30 0
- 20 -1
30 -10 40
10010
Brute force algorithm Try all possible triples and see if they sum to 0 .
start


## Performance metrics

- What do we care about?
- Space, do I have the resources to solve it?
- Usually we care about physical memory
$-8 \mathrm{~GB}=8.6$ billion places to store a byte (byte $=256$ possibilities)
- Java double, 64-bits $=8$ bytes
-8 GB / 8 bytes = over 1 million doubles!
- Can swap to disk for some extra space
- But much much slower



## Three sums: brute-force

All possible triples $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$ from the set of integers.

```
public class ThreeSum
```

public class ThreeSum
pub
pub
public static void main(String [] args)
public static void main(String [] args)
{ pub
{ pub
int N = StdIn.readInt();
int N = StdIn.readInt();
int [] nums = new int[N];
int [] nums = new int[N];
int [] nums = new int[N];
int [] nums = new int[N];
nums[i] = StdIn.readInt();
nums[i] = StdIn.readInt();
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
for (int j= i + 1; j<N; j++)
for (int j= i + 1; j<N; j++)
for (int k = j + 1; k < N; k++)
for (int k = j + 1; k < N; k++)
(nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
(nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
(nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
if (nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
if (nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
if (nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0)
nums[j] +
nums[j] +
nums[j] +
}
}
}

```
}
```


## Empirical analysis: three sum

- Run program for various input sizes, 2 machines:
- My first laptop: Pentium 1, 150Mhz, 80MB RAM
- My desktop: Phenom II, 3.2Ghz (3.6Ghz turbo), 32GB RAM



## Doubling hypothesis

- Cheap and cheerful analysis
- Time program for input size N
- Time program for input size 2 N
- Time program for input size 4N
- ...
- Ratio $\mathrm{T}(2 \mathrm{~N}) / \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ approaches a constant

| N | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ | ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 400 | 0.16 | - |
| 800 | 0.63 | 3.94 |
| 1600 | 4.33 | 6.87 |
| 3200 | 33.69 | 7.78 |
| 6400 | 263.82 | 7.83 |

- Constant tells you the exponent in $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{aN}^{\mathrm{b}}$

| Constant from ratio | Hypothesis | Order of growth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{aN}$ | linear, $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~T}=a \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ | quadratic, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~T}=a \mathrm{~N}^{3}$ | cubic, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{~T}=a \mathrm{~N}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{4}\right)$ |

## Empirical analysis: three sum

- Run program for various input sizes, 2 machines:
- My first laptop: Pentium 1, 150Mhz, 80MB RAM
- My desktop: Phenom II, 3.2Ghz (3.6Ghz turbo), 32GB RAM

| $\mathbf{N}$ | ancient laptop | modern desktop |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 0.33 | 0.01 |  |
| 200 | 2.04 | 0.04 |  |
| 400 | 11.23 | 0.16 |  |
| 800 | 94.96 | 0.63 |  |
| 1600 | 734.03 | 4.33 |  |
| 3200 | 5815.30 | 33.69 |  |
| 6400 | 47311.43 | 263.82 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Estimating constant, making predictions

| N | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})$ | ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 400 | 0.16 | - |
| 800 | 0.63 | 3.94 |
| 1600 | 4.33 | 6.87 |
| 3200 | 33.69 | 7.78 |
| 6400 | 263.82 | 7.83 |

Desktop data
$\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{N}^{3}$
$263.82=a(6400)^{3}$
$a=1.01 \times 10^{-09}$
How long for desktop to solve a 100,000 integer problem?
$1.01 \times 10^{-09}(100000)^{3}=1006393$ secs
$=280$ hours

| $N$ | $\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~N})$ | ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 400 | 11.23 | - |
| 800 | 94.96 | 8.45 |
| 1600 | 734.03 | 7.72 |
| 3200 | 5815.30 | 7.92 |
| 6400 | 47311.43 | 8.14 |

Laptop data

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{~N}^{3}
$$

$47311.43=a(6400)^{3}$
$a=1.80 \times 10^{-07}$
How long for laptop to solve a 100,000 integer problem?
$1.80 \times 10^{-07}(100000)^{3}=1.80 \times 10^{08}$ secs $=50133$ hours

## Bottom line

- My three sum algorithm sucks
- Does not scale to large problems $\rightarrow$ an algorithm problem
- 15 years of computer progress didn't help much
- My algorithm: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$
- A slightly more complicated algorithm: $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2} \log \mathrm{~N}\right)$

| Using the better algorithm, how long does it <br> take the desktop to solve a 100,000 integer <br> problem? |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1.01 \times 10^{-09}(100000)^{2} \log (100000)=168$ secs |


| Using the better algorithm, how long does it <br> take the ancient laptop to solve a 100,000 | This assumes the <br> same constant. <br> Really should do the <br> doubling experiment <br> again with the new <br> algorithm. |
| :--- | :--- | take the integer problem?

$1.80 \times 10^{-07}(100000)^{2} \log (100000)=29897$ secs

## Constant in the time equation

- What influences the constant a?
- e.g. $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{N}^{2}$
- Speed of computer (CPU, memory, cache, ...)
- Implementation of algorithm
- Body inside the nested for-loops may use more or less instructions
- Software
- Operating system
- Compiler

Garbage collector

- System
- Other applications
- Network (e.g. Windows update)


## Order of Growth: Consequences

| order of growth | predicted running time if problem size is increased by a factor of 100 | order of growth | predicted factor of problem size increase if computer speed is increased by <br> a factor of 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| linear | a few minutes | linear | 10 |
| linearithmic | a few minutes | linearithmic | 10 |
| quadratic | several hours | quadratic | 3-4 |
| cubic | a few weeks | cubic | 2-3 |
| exponential | forever | exponential | 1 |
| Effect of in for a program | reasing problem size at runs for a few seconds | Effect of increasing computer speed on problem size that can be solved in a fixed amount of time |  |

## Order of growth

A small number of functions describe the running time of many fundamental algorithms!


## Growth of nested loops

- Nested loops
- A good clue to order of growth
- But each loop must execute "on the order of" N times
- If loop not a linear function of N , loop doesn't cause order to grow

| ```for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) for (int j = 0; j < N; j++) for (int k = 0; k < N; k++) count++;``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}^{3}$ |  |  |
| N | T(N) | ratio |
| 5000 | 6.85 | - |
| 10000 | 53.48 | 7.8 |
| 20000 | 425.97 | 8.0 |
| $425.97=\mathrm{a}\left(20000^{3}\right)$ |  |  |



## String processing example

- Goal: Strip all numbers 0-9 from a String
- Go one char at a time, dropping any that are 0-9



## String processing, doubling hypothesis

- Read file with String of different lengths ( N )
- Time how long it takes to run stripNums()



## A better stripping method

- Solution: Use a StringBuilder object
- Can efficiently append characters to a string
- Convert to a normal String once the loop is done



## Trouble in String city

- Problem: String objects in Java are immutable
- Once created, they can't be changed in any way
- Java has to create a new object, copy the text into it
- The old string gets garbage collected (eventually)

```
private String stripNums(String text)
    String result = "";
    for (int i = 0; i < text.length(); i++)
    {
            char ch = text.charAt(i);
            if ((ch < '0') || (ch > '9'))
            result += ch;
    }
    return result; This line is a hidden for-loop
} that copies all characters in
the newly created one.
```


## String processing performance

| N | T(N) | ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8 k$ | 0.056 | - |
| $16 k$ | 0.150 | 2.7 |
| $32 k$ | 0.520 | 3.5 |
| $64 k$ | 1.932 | 3.7 |
| $128 k$ | 8.104 | 4.2 |
| $256 k$ | 36.267 | 4.5 |
| $512 k$ | 180.275 | 5.0 |


| $N$ | $T(N)$ | ratio |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8 k$ | 0.0000 | - |
| $16 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $32 k$ | 0.0000 | - |
| $64 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $128 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $256 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $512 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $1024 k$ | 0.0100 | - |
| $2048 k$ | 0.0200 | 2.0 |
| $4096 k$ | 0.0500 | 2.5 |
| $8192 k$ | 0.1100 | 2.2 |

New stripNumsFast() appending to a StringBuffer object. Order of growth: $\mathbf{N}$

## Summary

- Introduction to Analysis of Algorithms
- Today: simple empirical estimation
- Next year: an entire semester course
- The algorithm matters
- Faster computer only buys you out of trouble temporarily
- Better algorithms enable new technology!
- The data structure matters
- String vs. StringBuilder
- Doubling hypothesis
- Measure time ratio as you double the input size
- If the ratio $=2^{b}$, runtime of algorithm $T(N)=a N^{b}$

